

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,151	4,151 01/22/2002 Charles M. LaMo		1729 SPRI	6475	
32423	7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	MMUNICATIONS C	LANEAU, RONALD			
6391 SPRIN' KSOPHT010	Γ PARKWAY 01-Z2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OVERLAND	PARK, KS 66251-21	00	3627	-	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	/054,151	LAMOTTA ET AL	LAMOTTA ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		Ro	nald Laneau	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statu are to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE f 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. utory period will app fill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) No e the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 June 2	2006.					
			on is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	•					
4)[🔀	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 9-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 9-18</u> is/are rejected.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		d or b)□ objected :	to by the Examiner				
,	<del></del>	•	<i>-</i>	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian prior	rity under 35 U.S.C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
-/.	,	ocuments hav	e heen received					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		<b>/</b> 1		<del>-</del> -				
Attachmen	tie)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Intende	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
I) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Other:								
rape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Dther:							

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 6/27/06 has been entered. Claims 2-4, 6-8 and 19-21 are canceled, claims 1, 5, and 9-18 are now pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sullivan (US 2003/0093320 A1).

Sullivan was cited in previous action.

As per claims 1, 5, 11, 16 and 18, Sullivan discloses a method in a computing environment for determining the taxes associated with an Internet transaction (see abs.), the method comprising; determining a destination address (fig. 1, 116); determining an origination address (fig. 1, 116); providing an address-geographical-region (AGR) table that associates said destination address with one or more geographic regions and said origination address with one or more geographic regions (figs, 3D-5B); based on said AGR table, determining one or more geographic regions associated with said destination address and one or more geographic regions associated with said origination address (fig. 3D); providing a geographic-region/tax-district (GRTD) table that associates said one or more geographic regions of said destination address

with one or more tax districts and said one or more geographic regions of said origination address with one or more tax districts (figs, 3D-5B); based on said GRTD table, determining one or more tax districts associated with said one or more geographic regions of said destination address and one or more tax districts associated with said one or more geographic regions of said origination address (figs, 3D-5B); comparing said one or more tax districts of said destination address to said one or more tax districts of said origination address (page 4, [0048]); identifying a set of geographic areas that said destination address and said origination address have in common (page 4, [0049]); determining a tax rate based on said set of identified geographic areas; and computing the tax associated with the Internet transaction based upon the determined tax rate (fig. 1; tax calculator).

As per claims 9 and 15, Sullivan discloses a method further comprising: comparing at least one tax-district-identification value of said destination address with at least one tax-district-identification value of said origination, and determining which tax-district identification value(s) of said destination and said origination address overlap (fig. 1, 270).

As per claims 10, 12-14 and 17, Sullivan discloses a computer system comprising providing a tax table to determine an appropriate tax rate associated with each determined tax-district-identification value; wherein said geographic-region component includes a table for determining geographic-region-identification values (see abs., fig. 3D).

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, and 9-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau
Primary Examiner

9/2/06 Art Unit 3627

Ronald Daneeu

rl ·